IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, Vs. Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prol in this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's app fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission 10 Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT. RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner.		Case 4:03-cv-00549-FRZ Document 136 Filed 06/15/04 Page 1 01 1	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Por The DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, ORDER Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prolain this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's app fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 aday of June, 2004.	1		(_FILEU
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Por The DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, ORDER Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prolain this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's app fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004.	2		JUN 15 2004
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, Vs. Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prol in this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's app fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004.			TOTAL COURT
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, Vs. Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice proling in this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004.			CLERK US DISTRICT OF ARIZONA DEPUTY
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, Vs. Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prolin this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's app fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District of the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission of Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 4 to day of June, 2004.	5		RY
Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, Vs. Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice proling in this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Wice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 4 day of June, 2004.	6	IN THE UNIT	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Sammye A. Richardson, Plaintiff, Vs. Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prolain this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission IVice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this day of June 2004.	7	FOR TH	E DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
Plaintiff, vs. Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice proliin this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's app fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004.	8		
vs. Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prolain this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004.	9	Sammye A. Richardson,) No. 03-CV-549-TUC-FRZ
Judge Oliver Wanger, et al., Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice proling in this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 4 day of June, 2004.	10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
Defendants. Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice problem this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission IVice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 4 day of June, 2004.	11	vs.	
Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prolain this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's app fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 4 day of June, 2004.	12	Judge Oliver Wanger, et al.,	
Attorney Stephen H. Turner filed an application for admission to practice prolain this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission of Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT Park R. Zapata	13	Defendants.	
in this action on behalf of Defendant G.C. Services, L.P. Although Mr. Turner's app fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004. Prank R. Zapata	14	Attorney Stephen H. Turner	/ filed an application for admission to practice pro hac vice
fully complies with Rule 1.5(b)(3) of the Rules of Practice of the United States District for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission IVice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004.	15		- *
for the District of Arizona, the Court will deny the application because judgment was dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission IV Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 4 day of June 2004.			
dismissing this case without prejudice on April 1, 2004. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission I Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004. Prank R. Zapata			
Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission II Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 4 day of June 2004. Frank R. Zapata			
IT IS ORDERED that Stephen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission I Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June 2004. Frank R. Zapata		Accordingly,	
Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE . IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 4 day of June, 2004. Frank R. Zapata		IT IS ORDERED that Steph	nen H. Turner, Esq.'s Application for Admission Pro Hac
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECT RETURN the admission fee paid by Mr. Turner. DATED this 44 day of June, 2004. Frank R. Zapata		Vice (filed June 1, 2004) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE .	
PATED this 4th day of June, 2004. DATED this 4th day of June, 2004. Frank R. Zapata		IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to	
DATED this 4th day of June, 2004. Frank R. Zapata		RETURN the admission fee paid b	y Mr. Turner.
27 28 Zignata United States District Judge 27 28		DATED this 44 day of J	une, 2004.
\parallel	27 28	Į	